EXHIBIT A

		1
UNITED STATES DISTR	RICT COURT	
DISTRICT OF MASSAC	CHUSETTS	
	X	
In Re: PHARMACEUTICAL)	
INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE) MDL No. 1456	
PRICE LITIGATION) Civil Action No.	
	X 01-12257-PBS	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)	
United States of America ex)	
rel. Ven-a-Care of the)	
Florida Keys, Inc., et al.)	
v. Boehringer Ingelheim)	
Corp., et al., Civil Action)	
No. 07-10248-PBS)	
	X	
(CROSS-CAPTIONS APPEAR	ON FOLLOWING PAGE)	
VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEF	POSITION OF ROXANE	
LABORATORIES, INC., ROXAN	NE LABORATORIES, INC.	
n/k/a BOEHRINGER INGELE	HEIM ROXANE, INC.,	
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., and		
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPOR	RATION by JUDY WATERER	
DECEMBER 12,	2008	

25 Α. I see where you're coming from. 2 MS. RIVERA: Hold on. Object to form. 3 Go ahead. BY THE WITNESS: 5 Again, I will say that the term AWP and Α. 6 the numbers that Roxane reflected as AWP were 7 reflective AWP, and that is not understood in the 8 industry to be the term that you're trying to define it as. So if you're saying does AWP mean 10 something that it doesn't mean, the answer is no. 11 So in answer to your question -- I'm 12 trying to be as complete as I can. 13 BY MR. HENDERSON: 14 Q. Okay. 15 No, AWP does not mean -- I can't 16 remember the exact words that you used, but some 17 kind of actual average of prices to customers. 18 So the price that we reported did not mean that 19 definition. 20 Q. Okay. I wasn't asking you about the 21 meaning of AWP. 22 Mm-hmm. Α.

26 Ο. I understand Roxane did report numbers 2 3 Α. Mm-hmm. 4 -- it termed as AWPs to First DataBank, Ο. 5 Medi-Span and Red Book; is that correct? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. And my question to you is this: Ο. 8 Did those numbers that Roxane reported as AWPs, 9 did those numbers have any predictable relationship to the prices that Roxane's drugs 10 11 were sold in the marketplace? 12 Again, the answer is no. But I must 13 say that it was not represented to be that. 14 Ο. I understand that. 15 Α. So trying to tie it to that. 16 Ο. Okay. 17 Α. So the answer is no, but it's almost --18 the basis of the question is weird because it is 19 no, it didn't equal that, but nobody in the 20 industry thought it did. So it -- it -- it 21 didn't make sense -- the question doesn't make 22 sense so it's why I can't just give you a

- ¹ straight no.
- Q. Well, if I asked you whether the prices
- 3 that Roxane reported to publishers for
- 4 publication --
- 5 A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. -- related to the price of eggs at the
- local market, could you answer that question yes
- 8 or no?
- ⁹ A. I would give you the same answer. I
- would say no, it does not report to the price of
- eggs, and no reasonable person would think that
- 12 it would.
- Q. Okay. When you use -- said that the
- industry understood that to be the case, how have
- you -- how have you determined what the industry
- 16 understood?
- A. I would have to say that the way we've
- determined it is because we've never heard it
- described as anything else. In many, many, many
- years in the industry, it's been AWP has had a
- recognized definition or meaning that did not
- mean it was an actual, some kind of calculated

- ¹ average of prices in the marketplace. It was so
- ² I guess entrenched and established as a known
- definition in the marketplace that you didn't
- 4 really think about it. But if you were to think
- about it, it wouldn't have made sense that
- 6 anybody would have thought that that's what it
- 7 was. It wouldn't have been rational.
- ⁸ Q. Well, when you say we thought of it in
- ⁹ this way, who are you speaking of?
- 10 A. Basically everybody in the industry
- that I ever had contact with.
- 0. And who is that?
- 13 A. Customers and people that I worked
- with.
- Q. Okay. The -- have you understood -- do
- you understand that AWP is used by many entities
- in Medicaid and Medicare programs, among others,
- 18 for purposes of reimbursing pharmacists for
- dispensing pharmaceuticals to beneficiaries of
- certain insurance plans?
- A. I understand that AWP is one of -- is a
- reference price that some reimbursers use for

- 1 some drugs to tie their pricing to. But in my
- ² experience, their reimbursement has always been
- 3 significantly less than AWP.
- So going back to that, it would be
- ⁵ irrational if somebody is reimbursing a
- 6 pharmacist a percent off of AWP. I've seen in
- ⁷ the industry, I've been told in the industry that
- it can range anywhere from like 10 to 40 percent
- 9 off of AWP. It would be irrational to think that
- anybody doing that reimbursement would think that
- that's the price that a customer paid for it,
- that they would be willing to accept
- 13 reimbursement way below what their acquisition
- cost was. So if any -- when I say it's
- irrational, they had to know that AWP was not an
- 16 acquisition cost. If they believed it was an
- acquisition cost, I would have to believe that
- they would have set their pricing or their
- 19 reimbursement to be AWP plus some kind of fair
- 20 profit margin for the pharmacist that was
- dispensing the product.
- Q. Do you understand -- do you have an

- understanding, Ms. Waterer, as to whether or not
- the Medicaid program as -- or the Medicaid -- let
- me rephrase the question.
- Do you have an understanding that state
- ⁵ Medicaid programs seek to determine to estimate
- an acquisition cost as part of their methodology
- for reimbursing pharmacists?
- A. No. And if they wanted to get that, it
- 9 wouldn't be very difficult for them to have that
- ¹⁰ information.
- Q. Okay. So it's your belief that states
- do not seek to use estimated acquisition costs as
- part of their reimbursement objective?
- A. I think that's not exactly what my
- understanding of your question was.
- Q. Okay.
- 17 A. Your question was do I have knowledge
- that the states are trying to use acquisition
- 19 costs as the basis of their reimbursement. I
- don't have enough information or knowledge about
- what the states are doing. I sell products. I
- don't get reimbursed for products. So I don't

- A. I don't deal with reimbursement. Our
- 2 company does not deal with reimbursement.
- Q. So -- okay. And if -- if average
- 4 wholesale prices have no -- is it your testimony
- ⁵ that average wholesale price is a term that has
- on meaning other than something that people use
- 7 as a benchmark for payment?
- ⁸ A. It's a reference price used in the
- ⁹ industry. It's commonly used, our understanding
- is by a number of different organizations to tie
- their reimbursement to.
- Q. All right. And is it -- is it your
- view, Ms. Waterer, that Roxane or any other
- company is free to report whatever figure they
- wish as an average wholesale price?
- A. Wow. I don't -- I don't -- I don't
- even know how to respond to that. I can tell you
- how we do set our AWP and that we set it
- consistent to the industry. I don't think that
- we're out there trying to come up with some kind
- of low pricing or reinvent the term. We know on
- our multisource products that other companies use

- the same type of pricing scenario that we do.
- ² It's publicly available. It's published. And
- it's virtually an industry standard on a generic
- 4 product that the AWP is typically set at 10
- ⁵ percent off of the brand's AWP at launch. So I -
- I guess someone could set it wherever they
- yant, but I don't know that that happens in the
- 8 industry.
- Q. You said that it's the industry
- standard to set AWP at 10 percent off the brand.
- 11 Are you referring to the AWP of the brand
- 12 product?
- 13 A. Yes, that that is a common formula that
- we see lots of people -- it's very common to see
- that when you launch a product, that that's where
- the pricing ends up.
- Q. Okay. Is that how Roxane typically
- sets the AWP for its generic drugs?
- A. When we launch a new generic drug, our
- most common thing to do is take 10 percent of the
- brand's AWP at launch. There are instances when
- that does not occur.

- Q. And is that true regardless of where
- 2 Roxane sets its launch prices at which it sells
- 3 to customers?
- 4 MS. RIVERA: Hold on. Hold on. I'm
- 5 going to object. I mean, how Roxane sets its
- ⁶ prices and its practices for setting its prices
- is not one of the topics that Ms. Waterer is here
- 8 to talk about. One of your topics is how the
- 9 industry sets prices for generic drugs and
- 10 Roxane's understanding of how the industry sets
- prices. She's testified on numerous occasions
- 12 how Roxane goes about setting its prices and what
- its methodologies are for that. So I'll give you
- ¹⁴ a little leeway on some of the basic questions,
- but I don't want to go down a whole long line of
- 16 questioning about what Roxane's specific
- practices are for how they set their prices
- because it's not part of what we're here to talk
- 19 about today.
- MR. HENDERSON: Fair enough. And I'll
- try to avoid repeating prior questioning. At the
- same time, I'm not limited by the topics. They

- Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge,
- first-hand knowledge about how companies who sell
- loraz- -- al -- I'm sorry -- alprazolam set their
- ⁴ AWPs at the time of launch?
- ⁵ A. No.
- Q. What about isosorbide, does Roxane sell
- ⁷ that?
- A. That doesn't sound familiar.
- 9 Q. Do you have any knowledge about how
- 10 companies that sell generic isosorbide set their
- 11 AWPs at the time of launch?
- 12 A. No, I would have no reason to look into
- ¹³ it.
- Q. And with regard to the industry
- practice about setting AWPs at the time of
- launch, are there other individuals at Roxane who
- you know to share your belief about that industry
- 18 practice?
- 19 A. I believe if you talk to anybody in
- sales or marketing at Roxane, they would say the
- same thing. I haven't had that discussion with
- them, but it's common knowledge.

94 Ο. Okay. But you have not had any 2 specific discussions with others on that topic? 3 Α. Not that I recall. 4 Do you have -- we've covered -- I'm Ο. 5 going to move on to topic No. 4. And part of 6 that we've covered. 7 I was going to say. MS. RIVERA: 8 MR. HENDERSON: Quite a bit of it we've 9 covered. 10 BY MR. HENDERSON: 11 There is a second piece to that topic 12 regarding any industry practice concerning 13 subsequently changing or not changing the 14 reported or published AWP after the time of 15 launch. 16 A. Mm-hmm. 17 And do you have an understanding about Ο. 18 any practice, industry practice about the 19 changing or not changing the AWP after the time 20 of launch? 2.1 Α. After the time of launch? 22 Q. Yes.

- A. For a generic product, a competitive
- generic product?
- Q. Yes.
- ⁴ A. It's typically not changed.
- ⁵ Q. Okay. Now I'll ask you questions about
- 6 how do you know that?
- A. Same way we know that AWP is typically
- set at 10 percent, because we don't notice that
- 9 it changes and nobody brings it to our attention
- that it changes. And quite frankly, if our
- 11 pricing -- if everybody else changed and we
- didn't, eventually a customer would bring it to
- our attention based on what happened with
- 14 Furosemide. So we have no reason to believe that
- we're not still in line with the industry.
- Q. And do you know -- do you have any
- understanding as to why that practice exists to
- the extent you know about it?
- A. I haven't really questioned it. But
- 20 based on what happened with Furosemide, it's
- 21 pretty clear if your pricing gets out of line
- with everyone else in the industry that you can

96 be disadvantaged or advantaged relative to your 2 competition. 3 There have been occasions when AWP's Ο. own generic products have increased; is that 5 correct? 6 Generic products in general? Α. Ο. In your experience. I'm not sure what you're asking. Α. MS. RIVERA: Object to form. 10 THE WITNESS: Are you asking Roxane 11 products? 12 BY MR. HENDERSON: 13 Yes, let's stick to Roxane products for Q. 14 now? 15 There are quite a few times when AWPs Α. 16 increase on our Roxane product line, yes.

- Q. Okay. Are those exceptions to the
- qeneral practice that you just stated to me?
- A. Generally they're not an exception.
- What I had stated to you before, I very clearly
- designated that that was for a competitive
- generic product in that we have products that

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

137 1 Α. I be- -- yes -- or as a -- the question 2 was my understanding? 3 Q. Yes. 4 So it's specific to my understanding. Α. 5 Okay. Is it fair to say that informs Q. 6 the company's understanding, as well? 7 It's a part of it. I'm not the only Α. 8 employee in the company. Q. Okay. 10 MR. HENDERSON: Let's see. 11 started a little late so I think we'll run a 12 little bit later. I'll go ahead and start topic 13 No. 5. 14 MS. RIVERA: Okay. 15 BY MR. HENDERSON: 16 Just take a minute to read topic No. 5 Ο. 17 to yourself. There are two parts to this topic. 18 One relates to AWP prices and the other relates 19 to WACs. I'll start with the AWPs, AWP part of 20 this topic. 2.1 Α. Okay. 22 Does Roxane have any belief regarding Q.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

whether the United States government approved of 2 or acquiesced in Roxane's practice of causing the 3 publication of AWPs that were higher than the actual average of wholesale prices at which date 5 Roxane's drugs were sold in the marketplace? 6 First of all, I have to break that down Α. 7 a little further, in that there's an implication 8 that Roxane caused something to be published. provide pricing. Somebody else publishes it. 10 don't require them to publish it. We don't ask 11 them to publish it. We're not compensating for 12 them to publish it. So I'm not comfortable with 13 the clause that says we cause it to be published. 14 Then the other part is, is it our 15 understanding that the U.S. government approved 16 of or acquiesced that the AWP was higher than the 17 average actual wholesale price, if we're going to 18 take that. The simple fact that the government 19 bases its reimbursement on that and that the 20 government would have every reason to know that that price was not defined in the industry or in 21 22 any general practice as some kind of an actual

- average of wholesale prices, I don't even know to
- whom those prices would be.
- So I would have to say based on the
- fact that the government uses it, continues to
- use it, it's a generally understood term and that
- since they use it and they absolutely have to
- ⁷ understand what the term is, that they know very
- 8 well that it's a price that is not some kind of
- 9 defined actual average wholesale price to some
- undefined other person. So that's why we believe
- that the government would know that.
- Q. Okay. You said that you're not
- comfortable with the piece of this topic
- suggesting that Roxane caused the publication of
- certain AWPs. Has Roxane -- Roxane has reported
- AWPs to the publishers, First DataBank and Red
- Book and Medi-Span; is that correct?
- ¹⁸ A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Has Roxane had an expectation that the
- 20 AWPs it reported to those publishers would be the
- 21 AWPs that got published?
- A. It was Roxane's understanding that the

- different pricing compendia reported AWPs.
- Q. Is it -- was it -- has it been Roxane's
- ³ understanding that the different publishing
- 4 compendia would report the AWPs that Roxane --
- ⁵ I'm sorry. Let me state that again.
- 6 Has it been your understanding as a
- 7 representative of Roxane that the AWPs that
- 8 Roxane reported to the publishers would be, in
- 9 fact, published by them?
- 10 A. That was our understanding, yes.
- Q. And has Roxane reported its AWPs with
- 12 the expectation that those would be the AWPs that
- would be published?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And with regard to the government
- 16 knowledge, what -- are there -- are there any
- documents that you believe show that the
- 18 government has approved or acquiesced in the
- 19 practice of having AWPs published that are higher
- than any actual market prices?
- A. Okay. I don't believe that the
- government has ever told us that they approve or

- 1 A. My --
- Q. -- information for purposes of
- determining reimbursement?
- ⁴ A. My understanding of the confidentiality
- ⁵ restrictions surrounding AMP are that the
- 6 pharmaceutical manufacturers do not want that
- ⁷ shared with customers or competitors. Beyond
- 8 that, I'm not aware of any confidentiality
- 9 agreements.
- In addition to that, the states would
- very, very easily be able to calculate AMP
- literally on the back of an envelope if they
- wanted to. They get their rebate percent and
- they know how many units they sold. So if
- 15 they're getting --
- Q. I think I'm asking about the
- confidentiality issue, if the --
- 18 A. To my knowledge, there's nothing that
- 19 prohibits the Federal Government from sharing it
- with a state. The only thing that to my
- 21 knowledge I'm aware of has to do with the fact
- that if it's shared, it has to be in such a

170 manner that it would maintain confidentiality in 2 terms of protecting our companies from disclosing 3 confidential information to competitors and customers. 5 When did you -- let me start with you Ο. 6 personally --Α. Mm-hmm. 8 -- but also in your capacity as a 9 designee of Roxane. 10 When did you -- when, if at any time, 11 did you form the belief that AMP data is 12 something that states could use for determining 13 reimbursement? 14 MS. RIVERA: Object to form. 15 BY THE WITNESS: 16 I wasn't suggesting that states use it. 17 What I was saying is that they would have access 18 to that information, either directly if the 19 government chose to share it with them under a 20 confidentiality agreement or indirectly by doing 21 a simple calculation on the rebates that they get 22 every quarter from us.

171 BY MR. HENDERSON: 2 Ο. Well, if they have access to it but 3 can't use it, how would that substitute for -how would that provide them with information 5 about acquisition costs to use for purposes of 6 reimbursement? MS. RIVERA: Object to form. 8 BY THE WITNESS: Α. I don't understand what about can't use 10 it. What they can't do is share it with 11 competitors --12 BY MR. HENDERSON: 13 Ο. Okay. 14 -- or share it with our other 15 customers. 16 But you do think they could use it for Ο. 17 purposes of determining reimbursement? 18 Α. I think that --19 MS. RIVERA: Object to form. 20 BY THE WITNESS: 21 -- they could use whatever information Α. 22 they choose to to set their reimbursement

Roxane Laboratories, Inc (Judy Waterer)
Chicago, IL

December 12, 2008

		240
1	SIGNATURE OF THE WITNESS	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	JUDY WATERER	
11		
12	Subscribed and sworn to and before me	
13	this, day of, 20_	•
14		
15		
16		
17	Notary Public	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.